

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/881,322	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BUSCH ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> San-ming Hui	<b>Art Unit</b> 1617

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) San-ming Hui. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Todd Crissey, attorney of record. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2005 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior art documents discussed: \_\_\_\_\_


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Crissey informed the Examiner that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed December 13, 2004.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)